Japan Betrayed: From Versailles to Pearl Harbor, a history of betrayal

Volume II – The Independent Observer:

Author: Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

Affiliation: The Independent Observer

Date: October 2, 2025

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

Abstract

This paper examines how Japan's alliance with the United States and Western powers during **World War I** dissolved into hostility by the outbreak of **World War II**. Although Japan entered the war as part of the Allied camp, contributed to maritime security, and seized German colonial holdings in Asia, it was betrayed during the **Versailles Peace Conference**. Japan's proposal for a **Racial Equality Clause** was rejected by the **U.S., U.K., and Australia,** exposing the racial and geopolitical hierarchies embedded in the international order. Furthermore, its territorial gains in **Shandong, China**, were denied despite wartime promises, while **Britain and France** consolidated their empires. These betrayals fostered deep resentment, undermined Japan's faith in international cooperation, and fueled the rise of ultranationalism and imperial expansion in East Asia.

The analysis situates this episode within a broader pattern of betrayal: the United States later nurtured China's economic growth only to face a multipolar rival, and Cold War alliances with Afghan fighters culminated in the rise of Al-Qaeda. Through these case studies, the paper demonstrates that global powers often transform allies into adversaries when convenience and control take precedence over the principles of equality. By contextualizing Japan's betrayal after WWI within a lineage of systemic duplicity, the essay argues that the line between ally and enemy is thinner than history textbooks suggest.

Keywords
Japan, World War I, Versailles Treaty, Racial Equality Clause, Shandong Question, betrayal, empire, proxy warfare, multipolarity, China, Al-Qaeda, Independent Observer, Volume III

Introduction: Allies Turned Adversaries

The story of Japan's betrayal after World War I is rarely told in the West, yet it may be one of the most consequential diplomatic failures of the 20th century. Japan was not a neutral observer in the **First World War** — it was an ally. It entered the war on the side of the Entente, supported Britain and the United States, and helped secure the Pacific against German influence. Yet less than two decades later, Japan would be bombing Pearl Harbor, setting the stage for the bloodiest Pacific conflict in history.

What happened? The answer lies not in sudden ideological shifts but in betrayal. At the **Versailles Peace Conference of 1919**, Japan's proposal for racial equality was dismissed, and its territorial gains were denied, while European powers carved up the **Middle East and Africa** for themselves. This rejection of Japan's legitimacy within the "civilized" order did more than anger diplomats; it radicalized Japan's political trajectory.

Section I: Japan in the First World War

Japan's entry into **World War I** in **August 1914** was at Britain's request, as they had signed the **Anglo-Japanese Alliance of 1902**. Japan's navy quickly took over Germany's colonial holdings in the Pacific — including the Marshall, Mariana, and Caroline Islands — and occupied the Shandong Peninsula in China. Japanese warships also escorted Allied convoys across the Indian Ocean, ensuring key sea routes remained open.

For Tokyo, the war was both an opportunity and a challenge. Japan wanted to prove itself as an equal power alongside the Western empires. At home, Japan had undergone rapid modernization since the **Meiji Restoration (1868**). The First World War was seen as an opportunity to solidify its status as a leading power. But beneath the alliance, distrust remained. While Britain and France appreciated

Japan’s military efforts, they were cautious about seeing an Asian nation rise to a level of equal standing with them.

Section II: The Versailles Peace Conference and Betrayal
At the **Versailles Peace Conference in 1919**, Japan made two key requests:

1. Recognition of their territorial gains — especially the former German leasehold in Shandong, China.
2. Inclusion of a Racial Equality Clause in the League of Nations Covenant.

The **Racial Equality Clause** was modest. It didn’t call for open borders or large-scale migration but stated that all member nations should treat their subjects and citizens equally, regardless of race. Most delegates supported it. Still, the clause was blocked — vetoed by **U.S. President Woodrow Wilson** and opposed by Britain’s dominions, particularly Australia. The Shandong issue was equally tense. Despite Japan’s wartime occupation, the conference awarded Shandong to China under pressure from the U.S. and Britain. Japan’s delegation was humiliated. The message was clear: Western democracy and international law were built on racial hierarchy.

Section III: The Rise of Resentment and Radicalism

The Versailles betrayal had lasting consequences. In Japan, liberals who had hoped for integration into the Western order lost credibility. Nationalists and militarists, who argued that Japan would never be treated as an equal by the West, gained power. The slogan “Asia for the Asians” emerged as a rallying cry against Western dominance.

By the 1930s, Japan had invaded Manchuria, withdrawn from the League of Nations, and adopted a militarized economy. The logic was straightforward: if the West would not accept Japan as an equal partner, then Japan would build its own empire. The Pacific War, culminating in Pearl Harbor in 1941, was the inevitable result of disillusionment and resentment born at Versailles.

Section IV: The Pattern of Betrayal in Global Politics

Japan's story isn't unique. The betrayal of allies is a common theme in imperial politics:

- China: In the 1970s, the U.S. opened its markets to China, outsourcing manufacturing and technology. Today, that same China is considered the U.S.'s top rival.
- Afghanistan: In the 1980s, the CIA trained and armed Afghan mujahideen to fight the Soviet Union. Many of them later turned into Al-Qaeda and the Taliban.
- Middle East: U.S. alliances with autocratic regimes often fall apart when those regimes no longer serve American interests, leading to cycles of instability.

The pattern is systemic: allies are seen as expendable, while the empire continues.

Section V: Lessons for Today's Multipolar World

The betrayal of Japan holds lessons for today's multipolar order. In a world where China, Russia, India, and the U.S. compete for influence, alliances are increasingly transactional. Just as Japan discovered after WWI, smaller powers may find that partnership with an empire comes with no guarantee of respect. The U.S. today risks repeating the Versailles error — alienating allies through double standards and broken promises.

Conclusion: The Betrayal Doctrine

Japan's trajectory from ally to adversary after **World War I** illustrates a larger truth: betrayal is not a failure of empire, but its operating principle. Empires cultivate allies when convenient, discard them when inconvenient, and brand them enemies when necessary. Japan's betrayal at Versailles was not an aberration; it was the blueprint for the 20th century. Unless history is confronted honestly, it will continue to serve as the blueprint for the 21st century.

References

1. Alexander, M. (2010). The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness. The New Press.
2. Dickinson, F. R. (1928). The Japanese Navy in World War I. Harvard University Press.
3. Gordon, A. (2013). A Modern History of Japan: From Tokugawa Times to the Present. Oxford University Press.

4. Hata, I. (1983). Japan’s War Aims in World War I. Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies, 43(2).
5. Johnson, C. (2000). Blowback: The Costs and Consequences of American Empire. Metropolitan Books.
6. Manela, E. (2007). The Wilsonian Moment: Self-Determination and the International Origins of Anticolonial Nationalism. Oxford University Press.
7. Mearsheimer, J. (2001). The Tragedy of Great Power Politics. W.W. Norton.
8. Nish, I. (1977). Japan’s Foreign Policy, 1869–1942: From Imperialism to Postwar Peace. Routledge.
9. Perkins, J. (2004). Confessions of an Economic Hitman. Berrett-Koehler.
10. Rashid, A. (2000). Taliban: Militant Islam, Oil, and Fundamentalism in Central Asia. Yale University Press.
11. Young, L. (1998). Japan’s Total Empire: Manchuria and the Culture of Wartime Imperialism. University of California Press.